G. R. S. 59] GPB 16,000 22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-1901
~~191~~ .
(Inwards)

File No. 159

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month—

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Petition of Sampath Kumara Bhatta one of the Archikas of the Sri Chennakesavaswami temple at Belur appealing against the order of the late Dewan Secretary in the matter of Archaka's duties to be performed by turns in the temple—

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

366-04
5.1.1-10

Inwd F 252-1897-8

Dewan's Office

Inspection Department

Note.

Subject :- Petition no 17 dated 28th June 1901, from Sampathkumara Bhattar, one of the Archaks of the Sri Chinna-Kesavaswamie Temple at Belur, appealing against the order of the late Inspection Secretary, in the matter of the Archak's duties to be performed by turns in the Sri Chinnakesavaswamie Temple

There are two Archaks in the Sri Chinnakesavaswamie Temple

at Belur, each getting a

salary of Rs 5 per mensem —

One of whom is called

Keshava Bhatta and the

other Sampathkumara Bhatta,

the present petitioner).

It appears from the records available in this office that during

In 1897, only one Archak was

at a time

during the Puja work in the

temple, ~~by turns~~, while the

other never attended to the

at that time

Temple work, through both of

them received their salaries

monthly. In may 1897, Mr.

B. L. Krishnaiyar, the then

Dy Com[illegible]ner of the Hassan

District, wrote a D.O. to the

late mysore Secretary,

suggesting that both the Archaks

should

should continue to attend to the work; and added that while the one was engaged in the main temple, the other might attend to the work in the minor shrines or ~~in the~~ ~~[illegible]~~. On this D—o, the Mugrai Secretary ~~has~~ remarked "So I have arranged during my last visit".

In February 1898, the Dharmakarsees of the Temple reported to the Dy Commr that (1) Arichak Sampat-Kumara Pichutta (the present petitioner) was very irregular in his attendance (2) that he never put in his appearance in

(1) Thammayya
(2) Rama Rao
(3) Keswa Dasiengar.

in the temple for a single

day since the 1st January

1898, nor did he obtain leave

(3) that he was generally in the

habit of applying for leave occasionally

under the pretext of illness.

(4) that the present arrangement

that each Archak had to work

in the main or the minor

temples by turns of one year,

was prejudicial to the temple

work, which suffered very

much in consequence and

(5) that therefore they preferred

the other Archak Kesava Bhatta,

who was a very respectable

and trustworthy man, to

work in the main temple

permanently, leaving this

irregular and untrustworthy

Archak Sampathkumara Bhatta to do puja work in the minor temples, in as much as both the appointments carried equal emoluments with them.

On this report of the Dharmadarsees, the Dy Commissioner nor B. L Krishnaiyar noted the following remarks: —

* Dated 23rd February 1898.

"I have enquired on the spot. Sampathkumara Bhatta has been inattentive to his work and has absented, on pretence of sickness, without leave, himself, though he has put in an incompetent substitute, without —

~~obtaining leave on plea of sickness~~. The minor temples in his charge are in a neglected state and unless he mends his conduct, the advisability of his being continued in service shall have to be taken into consideration -

4 For the further management of the temple and its worship, the arrangement proposed by the Dharmakarsees may be sanctioned with the approval of the Mysore Superintendent to whom this may be submitted.

11 Both the Archakas get the same pay and they may

may as hitherto enjoy the
perquisites of Ruthotsava
in alternate years, but
the chief temple shall be
in charge of Kesava Bhatta".
These papers the Dy Comm^r
submitted to the Mugrai
Superintendent with his
Docket no 929 dated 15th
march 1898. Thereupon

The Mugrai Supt
Thereupon noted the following
remarks :—*

"The Dharmakarsans'
proposals concurred in
by the Dy Comm^r may be
approved. During my
inspection also I have
learned that Sampat-
-Kumara Bhatta

* Dated 18th march 1898.

was very indifferent to
his work. He may be now
warned that if he continues
to be so any longer, he
will be summarily removed
from his appointment.
It should not be left
to the Arabaks to appoint
their own substitutes when
they fall sick or take any
other long leave. The arrange-
-ment though temporary,
should be sanctioned
by the Irrigation Department".

Thereupon the following
final order was communicated
to the Dy Comm^r, in reply to
his Docket-No 929 dated
15th March 1898: —

cr

Has the honour to observe that from the Dharmadarsees' report and the Deputy Comr's remarks thereon, it is clear that the Archak Sampatkumara Bhatta, now doing Puja in the minor shrines of the Temple has been very indifferent in the discharge of his duties, besides absenting himself from work frequently without permission On this account, the Dharmadarsees apprehend that the Temple-Services might suffer by Sampat-Kumara Bhatta being allowed

allowed to take his turn
of Puja in the main Temple;
and they therefore propose
that in modification of
the present arrangements,
Sampathkumara Bhatta
be directed to continue to
do duty in the minor shrine
the Puja of the main Templ
being always attended to
by the other Archak
Kesava Bhatta. This
proposal which is supported
by the Dy Commr is approved.

2. "Sampathkumara
Bhatta should [illegible] d
that further indifference
to duty on his part will
entail his summary dismissal
from

from the Temple Service

3. It may also be remarked that it should not be left to the Archaks to appoint any substitutes they choose, whenever they fall sick or take any long leave. The arrangement should be subject to the sanction of the Mysore Department."

In 1900, Sampatkumara Bhatta complained to this Office against the said arrangement and he was informed by means of an Endorsement* in terms of the above order.

In May 1901 he again petitioned to this Office praying

* No 754 dated 23rd April 1901.

praying for the reconsideration
of the order and begging to
be allowed to do Puja in the
main temple; but he was
referred to the previous Endorsement.

He has again submitted
3 petitions on the same subject
stating:-

i That he had not been
indifferent in the discharge
of his duties as stated
in the Magrai Raja's order.

ii That he did not absent himself
without leave, but on the
other hand obtained leave
from the Archaka owing
to illness.

iii That there was no Complaint
from any of the devotees
to the effect that he do was
not doing the Puja work
properly and that he was
not aware

* Encl- No 959 dated
20th June 1907.

⊕ Regd Nos
17 dated 28th June'07
191 " 20th Aug'07
199 " 5th Aug'07.

why the Dy Comr reported against him.

iv That the Dy Comr did not give him an opportunity to go and consult the circumstances in person, nor did he consult either the Taluk Amildar or the Magrai Officer, but acted on the mere report of the Dharmadarsees; and that the Magrai Sambayaka based his observations on the Dy Comr's report.

v That Dharmadarsee Keswa Dasimgar, who is also one of the temple servants, entertains some grudge towards him, because he wants

to

(14) 22

to favour the other Archak Keswara Bhattar, with whose father he had money transactions. And other Dharmadarsees simply signed the report prepared by Keswara Dasimayar, without going through the merits of the report even -

vi That he has been put to great loss, not being allowed to do the Puja work in the main temple

He therefore prays that he may be allowed to do Puja in the main temple by turns as usual.

Submitted for orders

11/9/07

Subject to the Dewan (15)

There does not appear to be any reason for disturbing the orders already passed in the Peters case by the late Mayrai Supdt with the concurrence of the Deputy Comr (Mr B. J. Krishnaswami). The Peria Nattar to even now attend the worship of the minor temples and the two gurus in alternate years the perquisites of the Rathotsavam &c.

8/2/02

3366 (M)
11/2/02

Quite so. Until so-called Proceedings case arrived
17.III.02

Sep E

Orders issued accordingly on 27th March 1902.

(16)

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re the prayer of one Kesna Bhatta for his restoration to the Archakship of the Chennakesavaswamy temple at Belur

From

The Dy. commr. Hassan Dist.

No. 81/561 Dated 28th July 1905.

Kesna Bhatta, archak of the Sri Chennakesavaswamy temple at Belur has addressed the marginally noted petitions to this office complaining that he has been kept out of employment for the past one year and that his pay for one year is in arrear; and praying for his restoration to the office and for the disbursement of the arrears of pay due to him.

*no. 1416 of 20th Septr. 1904.
2915 of 2d Febry 1905.
3662 of 1st April 1905.

2 These petitions having been forwarded to the Dy. commr. for report, he has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the vernacular records relating thereto

(2)(17)

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

The Dy. comm^r in his report cited above, states that owing to the frequent unauthorized absence of the petr, Sri K S. Dorasami Iyer, the then Dy comm^r directed the latter to be temporarily relieved of his duties and appointed Sampata-Kumarabhatta, the other Archak of the temple (pending enquiry) in his stead as 2 Archaks are necessary for the temple, and recommends that the said Sampathkumarabhatta and Kesvabhatta (the petitioner) may be appointed to worship in the major Shrine and in the minor Shrines by annual turns, an arrangement which was in vogue before 1898.

3. As regard ars of pay due to the petr, he rep the amount claimed is the sum withheld by the Auditor for the period the Archak absented himself from duty without leave, and that the amount due for the period he was on duty will be disbursed to him when he applies for it.

4. The Dy Comm^r's letter states the facts of the case clearly but one point is omitted to be mentioned there. That is, after Sampathkumara Bhatta

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Slip A

was relieved of his duties in the major shrine by order of the late Muzrai Secretary in 1898, he appealed to Government in June 1901 against the said order, but he was informed under the orders of the Dewan Suhebi in march 1902, that the Govt declined to reopen the case -

Submitted

ls
29/8/05

Govt no 1529 dated 27th march 1902 Slip E

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks.

The old correspondence connected with the two Archaks of the Sri Chennakesavaswami temple at Belur is explained in the previous pages of this Note, and the circumstances relating to the complaint of the present petitioner, Archak Kesava Bhatta, are clearly described in the Deputy Commissioner's letter No 81, dated the 28th July 1905. It will be seen that in the year 1898, it

MGCPB—3,500— 15-10-04

was

was found that Archak Sampathkumara Bhatta was inattentive to his duties and now it would appear that in 1904 the other Archak Kesava Bhatta was similarly negligent. When I visited Belur in September 1904, I learnt that Sampathkumara Bhatta was performing the duties satisfactorily. Taking all these circumstances into consideration, the best plan seems to be to approve of the Deputy Commissioner Mr. Wetherall's recommendation that one of the two Archaks should do the puja in the major shrine and the other in the minor shrines (Suttulugudies) in one year and vice versa in the next year and so on. This will ensure the attendance of both the Archaks throughout the year and will also render the emoluments equal. Both the men may be strictly warned that if they do not perform the duties satisfactorily, they will be liable to be removed from their appointments. If approved, Archak Kesava Bhatta may be given the puja turn of the major shrine immediately, as he has been out of employ for about 18 months past. In regard to arrears of pay, I do not think they

(20)

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

can be given except for the periods during which he was actually on duty.

A draft Order is submitted for approval,

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the II Councillor. } L Ananta Sama Rao

5th January 1906

Camp Agumbi.

14/9 6.1.06 I agree to the draft-ing approved. 5/1/06

10700/9-1-06 10706

Yes 10.1.06

Issue 14/1/05

Read 12/1/06.

Subh.

4/

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-01.

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

P.T.O

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note contd :—

The draft approved by the late Dewan was issued in Pro. no 3056 dt 18th Jan.y 1906 permitting the two Archaks of the temple to work by turns in the major & minor shrines.

D B

Kesava Bhatta, one of the Archaks who was given the Puja turn in the major shrine, petd this Office, stating that as he had to go out on private business, he required leave for 6 months and requesting that during his absence his brother (also a Hereditary Archaka of the Hereditary Office) might be appointed to act for him. This petn having been forwarded to the Dy Commr, that Officer reports that in accordance with the petitioner's prayer leave was granted ~~on the condition mentioned~~

(his no 374 dt 6.11.06 Paper under disposal)

~~of his brother~~, but that it was not possible to entrust the duties of his brother, because, the Dy Comm says, the Temple owns rich jewellery to entrust which to a new man is undesirable. The leave applied for by the other Archak also appears to have been refused, as he wished his son to act for him

The petr. contends that it is not possible to always remain in the temple to the detriment of his other private business and that his own brother who has also a hereditary claim to the office is equally responsible.

In the case of the Agamika of the Vanjiamgud temple who has three or more appointments in different places, the Govt have permitted him to get the work done

HE DEWAN OF MYSORE

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

by his Gumastas, himself being responsible for the jewels as in the custody of such Gumastas

Submitted
for approval
Ps

I think that the discretion of the local Authorities in such M..... has to be upheld by the Govt

Ghanta Sawmy
23/11/06

239
Subject to the 2nd (Pr)

Certainly. The local authorities know all the circumstances of the case and their action should be supported unless manifestly wrong

BVN
25/11/06

Put up draft
26/11/06

C. Ps.
Draft moved
24 26/11/06

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-01.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Reference
23/5/01
Transferred to
Muzrai Branch.
1.6.01

15/6/01

Refer the petitioner to the
Govt. already issued

Ks
15/6/01

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
1900 Dasara
REG. No. 11402
MUZRAI BRANCH

No. 2502

Name of Petitioner Sampath Kumar Bhatta

Village Archak of Sri Chenna Kesavadevaru temple

Taluk Belur

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 15.5.01

Date of Receipt 23.5.01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 5

ABSTRACT

Prays for a reconsideration of Govt: Order conveyed to the petitioner in Endorsement No. 754 Muzrai dated 28th April 01 on the following grounds

1) That he did not stay away without permission but was on leave on the substitute of his brother-in-law whose competency for the office had been

2) That the Dharma Darsi Mr. Kesava dasa Iyengar has been reporting against petitioner from the time he came to the office, on account of ill-will.

3) That during the 40 yrs service he has put in, he had never displeased the devotees but had been discharging the duties to the satisfaction of all as can be observed from the reports of the several officers who visited the place and

4) That he has unjustly been ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ deprived of the right of Puja in the main temple -

CA 30/5/01

Encls.

1. Dy: Secy's Endt. No. 754 dt 23.4.01
2. Copy of Mudrai Secy's letter No. 1192 dt 19.8.98 to Mudrai No 90-92 the Dy: Comr. Hosur
3. Copy of Mudr: officer's order No $\frac{32}{5}$ dt 17.7.97
4. Amildar's order No 184 dt 3.2.98
5. Copy of Mr. Paramaswara Iyer's order No $\frac{348}{69}$ dt 1.12.97
6. Sattlespur Sub-Dy. Off. Endt No 170 dt 19/11/0

By post
19.5.01





Two Rupees stamp affixed

23/5/01

2502

P. V Krishna Murthi Bg B.A. & L
Dewan of Mysore

2502 R
23-5-1901

The petitioner Sampath Kumara Bhatta, Archik of the Sri Cheeruna Keshava Swamie temple at Belur, hereby begs to ~~submit~~ appeal on the enclosed order no 902 dated 23. 4 1901 passed by the Deputy Secretary ~~stating~~ that there are no proper grounds to interfere with the order passed by the Nunjeraj Secretary on the 19th March 1898.

The above order discloses the following three grounds upon which my ~~neglect~~ of puja in the Major Shrine of the above said temple has been deprived of:

(1) That the petitioner absented himself without permission, (2) That he is indifferent in the discharge of his duties (3) That much inconvenience would be ~~resulted~~ to the devotees if the petitioner be allowed to continue to do puja in the main temple.

With reference to the 1st point the petitioner begs to state that he was on leave on Substitute

a

my brother-in-law whose competency had been approved by the District Mukhtarai officers (Vide Copy of the order no 348/69 dated 19.12.97) when the Deputy Commissioner visited the temple, as per orders of the District and Taluk Mukhtarai officers, as may be observed from the references noted in the margin and that it is surprising how the Deputy Commissioner believed the correct statement of the Dharmadarsi Ramadasaiya as he through ill will towards me had been working for my removal since his appointment as Dharmadarsi, which can be clearly seen from the records connected with my annual turn of puja of the temple and how other Dharmadarsis who had not been taking any interest in the affairs of the temple but simply signed through the influence of Ramadasaiya and reported to Government without reference to the orders of the District and Taluk Mukhtarai officers and without any enquiry whatever and the petitioners explanation being taken.

Copy of the Mukhtarai officers order no 32/5 dated 17.7.1897 and Amildar's order no 184 dated 3.2.1898 in original.

As regards the 2nd and 3rd points the petitioner begs to allege that

that he has put in a service of
40 years to the satisfaction of the
devotees and the Managing officers,
which can be clearly seen on a
reference to the reports of the Managing
officers Mr. Chendrasekharier
and Mr. Parama Siva Iyer and
the Sub. Division officers in
Mr. N Venkata Rao (Vide his
report No 170 dated 14. 7 1893 given
on the endorsement produced here-
-with) and also the annuldars of
the period and state that the
opinion of the devotees of the place
may be enquired into the matter.

The petitioner further begs
to refer to the report made by
B. Krava Iyengar one of the two
working Dharmakarsis of the
temple when the petitioner's
annual term of puja was about
to begin in the early part of 1898.

Under the above grounds
the petitioner most respectfully
and humbly begs that by hearing
the petitioner in person his prayer
may kindly be reconsidered
with full facts of the case
before the Government and then
orders may be passed allowing
the

the petitioner to do puja in the main temple, the right of which has unjustly been deprived of.

Begs to remain

Bellary
15th May 1901

Most obedient and humble petitioner

(Sd) [illegible]

(Note). The petitioner has herewith produced the following documents referred to above:

1. Endorsement given to the petitioner by the Deputy Secretary vide No. 752 / 23.6.1901. 1.
2. Copy of the order passed by the Inamdar Secretary dated 19th March 1898. — — — — 1
3. Copy of the Inamdar officer's order vide No. 32/5 dated 17.7.1897 - 1.
4. Amildar's order vide No. 184 dated 3.2.1898 issued to Srinivasabhatta, the petitioner's brother-in-law and the endorsement given to the petitioner by the Sub-Dy. Collr. 2
5. ~~Vide Perumatsira Inamdar's order Copy~~ Copy of Vide Perumatsira Inamdar's order No. 348/69 dated 19.12.1897. 1

(Sd) [illegible] 6

Muz. No. 1]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— /7

Name of Petitioner— Sambaththimara Bhatta Archak of Sri Chennakesa swami temple, Belur

Address—

Submitted with minutes

Date of Petition — 28th June

Date of Receipt— 9. 7. 01.

No. of Enclosures — Nil

Abstract

2nd Appeal

Appealing against Muzrai Secretary's order No 754 dated 23d April 1901

Point 1

It is mentioned that when I was looking after Soothalagudi Puja & I neglected my duty.

Answer

I don't see any reason why Deputy Commissioner should be displeased with your conduct in this matter

this fact that I have
discharged my duties
properly if your honor
were to peruse the yadi
No. 45 of 14.7.99 received
from the Sub Div officer
Sakleshpur and the Amildar
to the Deputy Commissioner)
and the dockets dated
5.1.97 & 8.12.1896 (from
the Munsif officer, Hassan
& to the Deputy Comr.)

Point 2.

It is mentioned that
I was frequently absenting
myself without permission

Answer -

I was not absenting
without permission. I
don't know on what
authority the Dy Comr.
wrote to the Govt.

When I fell ill, I applied
for leave and was permitted
from the District office

Request your honor to peruse
Munsif Assistant Comr's
order No. 348 of 19.12.97 to shew
that I may substitute as a
pleader to discharge duties

Point

It is mentioned that if I

am allowed to worship Moola-
-devara, not only I don't worship
it properly, but I shall be the source
of trouble to the public.

Answer:-

Since I was appointed to
worship Mooladevara
of Doddagudi no person
complained against me.

What the Mun; Secy & the Dy
Com^r. have done on the mere
report of the Dharmadarsis
is unjust, they should atleast
have enquired me or should
have asked the opinion of the
Munrai officer or the Amildar

Grounds for the difference

I. Dharmadarsi Kesavadasa
Iyengar is the manager of
the temple.

II Kesavadasa Iyengar got
on by the help of Lakshmikanta
Bhatta, the Petitioner's father
with whom he (Kesavadasa iyengar)
has money dealings.

III This Kesavadasa iengar
got one Sheshavahanam
(with a mark against formal-
-ity (Paddhati)) prepared for
the temple and deprived the
Petitioner of Mirasi. As to
this Govt. took steps and settled
the matter.

IV When this Kesavadasa Iyengar
was Agent of the said temple
(1875-76) people complained
against him and got him dis-
missed from the service.

V A few other dharmadarsis who knew nothing of the accounts have also signed Kesavadasiangar's report.

VI. The foll'g are the damages I ~~have~~ for not allowing me to worship Mooladevaru.

1. I lost the right of worshipping Mooladevaru whom my ancestors and myself were worshipping from a long-time.

2. I sustained a loss of Mirasi which I used to get from the Tirthakartas of the devotees at their service.

3. I suffered a loss of the income I used to get at the time of Soothalgudi Utsavams and Kanike

Request your honor to protect me by giving my right of Puja (of Sri Chennakesavaswami temple).

II

(1)

(2)

(3)

24-6-1901

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 9-7-01
REG. No. 13
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No.
MUZRAI BRANCH

ವಿವಾಯಂಟ್ಸ್

1.

2.

3. [illegible] 1859 [illegible] 27 11-10 59 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

I [illegible]

II [illegible]

III [illegible]

IV [illegible]

V [illegible]

Transferred to Mysore Branch
26-8-01

31/8/07

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date/
REG. No. 499
MUZRAI BRANCH

No. 431

Name of Petitioner Sampathkumara Bhatta archak of Sri Bhuteswaraswami Temple

Village

Taluk Belur

District Hasan

Date of Arzi 5-8-01

Date of Receipt 6-8-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

With reference to Muzrai Secretary's docketed Endorsement No. 754 of 23-4-01 states :-

The Dy. Comrs opinion that he did not conduct the duties of worship properly is groundless - If said No. 450 14-7-99 from the Sub Division officer, Sakleshpur & also said docketed 15-1-9728-12-96 from the District Muzrai officer & the Dy. Comr. were gone through it would be seen that he had been conducting the duties properly

As regards the 2nd charge that he had been absenting himself with-out leave now and then he states that

MGCPB--23-3-01--3,000.

he never absented himself from duty
He is at a loss to know what made the
Dy. Collr. to report against him. He
was granted one months leave in 1897.
As regards the competency of the man he
had appointed as his substitute vide
No. 3480/19-12-97 of the Huzrat Apte. Collr.
may be gone through.

As regards the 3rd item that if he was given
the opportunity to conduct the worship of
"Kula devata" the duties connected with
the worship would not be carried out
properly and the people would be put to
much difficulty he states that there was
no complaint against him since 1859.
Since when he has been conducting the
above duty by turns. He is at a loss to know
what made the Dy. Collr. to report against
him. The Dy. Collr. without making any
Enquiry and solely depending on Dharma-
-dorsis report submitted a report to the
Huzrat hereby who accepted the said
report. This is unjust.

One of the Dharmadorsis viz. Keshava-
-dasiengar who is in the management
of the temple, is also one of the Temple Servants
He has money transaction with Sasi Ardhat
Keshava Bhatta. There is ill feeling between
him and Keshavadasiengar against whom

a complaint was lodged about the Lanchanam & Seshavadhanam he presented to the temple and about the mirasi due to him which he withheld. Formerly he was the agent of the temple. In 1875-76 he was dismissed at the complaint of the villagers some others who are Dharmadarsis only nominally and who do not take any interest in the receipts & profit and loss to the temple have also signed the report.

He has incurred heavy loss by depriving him of the worship of the Mula Devaru &c.

Prays that on perusal of all the records his Hon may be pleased to

24/8/01

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಯಂತಿಪುರ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಪೀಠಾಧಿಪತಿಗಳಾದ ಪರಮಪೂಜ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಜಗದ್ಗುರು ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಜಯಂತಿ ಶಿವಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಮಹಾಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 17.7.97 ನೇ ಶನಿವಾರ ಸಂಜೆ 3 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಿಲಿಕಾನ್ ಸಿಟಿಗೆ ಆಗಮಿಸಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೀಠಾರೋಹಣ ಸುವರ್ಣ ಮಹೋತ್ಸವ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 19.12.97 ನೇ ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಸಂಜೆ 3 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆವರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿ ಸಲಹೆ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

3) ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟಬಾರದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳ ಸಮೀಪಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಬಾರದು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಜಾಗರೂಕರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಪೊಲೀಸರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟಬಾರದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳ ಸಮೀಪಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಬಾರದು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಜಾಗರೂಕರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಪೊಲೀಸರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟಬಾರದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳ ಸಮೀಪಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಬಾರದು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಜಾಗರೂಕರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಪೊಲೀಸರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಟ್ಟಬಾರದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳ ಸಮೀಪಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಬಾರದು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಜಾಗರೂಕರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಪೊಲೀಸರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು

ಶಂಕಾಸ್ಪದ ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ಏನು ಇರಬಹುದು.

I ಪ್ರಾಣಿಜನ್ಯ ಉತ್ಪನ್ನಗಳಾದ ಹಾಲು ಮೊಸರು ಬೆಣ್ಣೆ ತುಪ್ಪ ಮೊಟ್ಟೆ ಮಾಂಸ ಮೀನು ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೋಟೀನು ಅಧಿಕ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಬೋಹೈಡ್ರೇಟ್ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸೇವಿಸಿದರೆ ಆರೋಗ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾನಿಕಾರಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

2 ಕಾರ್ಬೋಹೈಡ್ರೇಟ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಅಗ್ಗವಾಗಿ ದೊರೆಯುವುದರಿಂದ ಬಡವರು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಕಾರ್ಬೋಹೈಡ್ರೇಟ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.

3 ಈ ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಬೋಹೈಡ್ರೇಟ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಅನುಪಾತದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವನ್ನು ಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಅನುಪಾತದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವೆಂದು ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಅನುಪಾತವು ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಬೋಹೈಡ್ರೇಟ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಂದ ದೊರೆಯುವ ಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವಾಗಿದೆ.

4 ಇವರು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ 9.5-10 ಅನುಪಾತದ ಅನುಪಾತದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಕಾರ್ಬೋಹೈಡ್ರೇಟ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಇವರು ಸೇವಿಸುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವು ಅಧಿಕವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

5 ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಈ ಅನುಪಾತವನ್ನು ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಂದ ದೊರೆಯುವ ಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಬೋಹೈಡ್ರೇಟ್ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಿಂದ ದೊರೆಯುವ ಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಿಂದ ಭಾಗಿಸಿದಾಗ ಬರುವ ಉತ್ತರವೇ ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಅನುಪಾತವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಪ್ರೋಟೀನ್ ಶಕ್ತಿಯ ಅನುಪಾತವನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿರಿ.

ಆಹಾರ

ಅಕ್ಕಿ ಕಾಳಿನಿಂದ ಅಕ್ಕಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವ ವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು

2

3

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED No
Date
REG. No. 191
MUZRAI BRANCH

To

L. Anantaswami Rao Esqr. BA

Deputy Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Muzrai Department

Sir,

Archak Sampathkumaarabhatta of Sree Chennakesava Temple, Belur, Hassan District most humbly begs to submit a second appeal on the endorsement order No: 754 dated 28th April 1901, of Muzrai Secretary, extract from which are quoted in the margin with the humble explanation of the undersigned.

Points of Complaints made by the Deputy Commissioner against the Sampathkumaarabhatta doing puja in the minor shrines of the Temple has been very indifferent in the discharge of his duties.

In support of his having discharged his duties properly, you will be pleased to refer to the docket No: 45 of 14th July 1899, written by the Taluk Amildar and the Sub Divison officer of Sakleshpur to the address of the Deputy Commissioner and also the dockets of the 5th January 1897, 8th December 1896, and 6th April 18

from which it will be found that he has been quite free from the blame of the kind.

II. He absented himself from duty frequently without leave.

On account of serious illness, he had to apply to the Amildar for (6) six months leave on the 1st July 1897, who finding it to be true reported to the Deputy Commissioner and got him the required leave sanctioned, Vide No: 32 of 17th July 1897 from the Deputy Commissioner.

Further he begs you will be pleased to refer also to the order No: 348 of 19th Decem 1897 of the District Magistrate Officer and that of the Taluk Amildar No: 184 of 3rd February 1898 to the effect that his substitute or nominee was a fit person.

III. The Temple Service might suffer by his being allowed to take his turn of puja in the main Temple

According to the order No: 27 of 11th October 1859 of late Chief Commissioner, he has been discharging his duties to the entire satisfactio

of the public and especially of the three sects of the Brahmens. If any irregularities had been noticed in his work there would have been some record either in the Taluk or in the District Munsif office; The entire absence of which very strongly goes to prove that he has been attending to his duties efficiently and carefully

Without taking his explanation, or the opinions of the Taluk Amildar, or the District Munsif officers Mr. B.G. Krishna Iyengar the then Deputy Commissioner of the District has written to the Government on the mere strength of the direct report of the Dharmadarsees who are not for the following reasons well disposed towards him, the acceptance of which by the Munsif Secretary has acted prejudicially to him

(1) Dharma Darsee Kesavadasaiengar who looks after the management of the temple is dead against him from the beginning

(2) The said Kesavadasaiengar was a great friend of late Lakshmi Kantbhat father of the other Archak Kesavabhatta, to as much as he was indebted to the latter to a very large extent.

(3) That the said Kesavadasaiengar had got his Seshavastranam "made for the God some 7 or 8 years back. And as the carrying of it did not correspond to usage it was objected to by the petitioner, and his demanding his Mirasee which was transferred to Lakshmi Kantbhat by the said Kesadasaiengar which led to a report being made against him to Government

Ever since the said Kesava dasa Iyengar been
cherishing ill-feelings against the petitioner.

(4) During the year 1895-96. the said Kesavadasaiengar was the agent of the said Temple; owing to his unpopularity and other complaints against him by the public, he was dismissed.

(5) The said Kesavadasaiengar being a very influential man the other Dharmadarsees have signed his report against the petitioner.

The losses, the petitioner has incurred on account of his having been deprived his rights of worship in the main Temple are many, among which he begs to point out the following

i Loss of his hereditary right of puja in the main Temple.

ii. Loss of all perquisite during the puja offered by the devotee during their worship, the kind of which cannot look for in the worship of the Smaller Shrines except his pay.

Under these circumstances, the petitioner humbly begs to state that the facts mentioned in this petition may kindly be taken into consideration along with his petitions dated 27th July and 5th August 1901 respectively forwarded to Government and humbly begs that the file of correspondence mentioned in this petition bearing on this subject may be sent for from the District office and by hearing him personally, you will be pleased to pass favourable order restoring the petitioner to his hereditary worship in the main Temple. Further he begs to enclose a few copies of References he has bearing on the subject.

(a) order of the Deputy Commissioner granting him leave for six (6) months.

(b) District Munsif officer No: 348/69 of 19th December 1869 approving

(b) approving the services of his substitute during his leave and reprimanding certain Temple servants.

(c) Taluk Amildar's No: 184 of 3rd February 1898 approving the exclusion of his leave on his former substitute Sreenivasbhat.

(d) Munzrai Secretary's order No: 1192 of 8th March 1898 removing him entirely from the worship of the main Temple on the authority of the direct report of the Dharmadarees through the Deputy Commissioner.

(e) M. E. No: 170 of 18th November 1900 from the Sub Division officer intimating the petitioner that all his applications have duly been submitted to the Deputy Commissioner with his opinion.

(f) No: 754 of 23rd April 1901 from the Secretary Munzrai Department, intimating him that the Government finds no grounds to interfere in the petitioner's case.

In Conclusion, ~~the~~ petitioner most humbly and respectively begs leave to please these into your kind Consideration and requests to comply with his request of restoring him to his former position for which act of kindness, as in duty bound, he ever prays for your long life and prosperity

He begs to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(sd) Sampat kumarabhat
Arekkar.

Bangalore
20th August 1901

Since Sampathkumara Bhatta has applied for six months leave on the 1st of July 1897 on account of illness and since the report no $\frac{b}{32}$ is written that the illness is true, the applicant Sampathkumara Bhatta may be granted six months leave after he has nominated a fit person according to the order no $\frac{32}{5}$ of 17-7-97 by the Deputy Commissioner

(Sd) Paramesiva Iyer
for deputy Commissioner

Memo
Date of Copy 27-3-98
Despatched 5-4-98
Received 26-4-98
No of words 86
Fee - 0-1-2

"Copy"

(Sd) Sampathkumara Bhat



No 348/69 order—

Sampathkumarabhatta's nominee may do duty during his absence. The amildar must see to these things personally. I am surprised to learn that the Parpathegar evidently without the orders of the amildar sent out the person nominated by the absent archak ~~of~~ If the mamool is for the archak going on leave to nominate a locum tenens and the amildar called upon him to nominate one and the person so nominated was sent out by the Parpathegar without the amildar's order; Such a state of things is a grave scandal which speaks very ill of the executive capability of the amildar, The temple being within 10 yards of amildar's residence. The present amildar is instructed to exercise the strictest personal control over the affairs of this magnificent temple and deal with exemplary severity all cases of insubordination neglect of duty, or ~~dis~~ ol on the part of the temple officials

"Copy"

(S.D) J. Paramasiva iyyer

19/12/97

27—3—98

5—4—98

26—4—98

15/

0-3-8

"Copy"

(Sd) Sampathkumarabhat

Copy

mu, sai No: 184.

From the Taluk Cutcherry, Belur.

To Sreenivasabhat, brother in law of
Sampatkumarabhat of Belur.

You have been permitted to look after the Puja business in the absence of your brother in law Sampatkumarabhat.

On inquiry, I came to know that Sampatkumarabhat is still ill and requires some time more to recruit his health.

During the absence of Sampatkumarabhat, the Deputy Commissioner has ordered that you will have to look after the puja of the Goddess and the surrounding temples carefully from the date of the receipt of this order.

"Copy"
No [illegible]
(sd) Sampatkumarabhat.

(sd) Venkatachar
Amildar.
Belur Taluk.

3rd February 1898.

Mudzrai
1898

From The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

To. The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan district

Dated 9th
Despatched "
Received "
} March 1898

Enclosures Nos

General No 1192
Mudzrai J. No 90-92

His ~~Excellency~~ No 929 dated 15th March 1898 submitting for orders the report of the Dharma-Darsees of the Sree Channakaswaraswamy Temple at Belur in regard to the Puja turn of the two archaks on the temple establishment

Has the honor to observe that ~~from~~ the Dharmadarsees Report and the Deputy Commissioners' remarks thereon, it is clear that the archak Sampathkumarabhatta, now doing Puja in the minor Shrines of the temple has been very indifferent in the discharge of his duties, besides absenting himself from work frequently without permission. On this account the Dharma-Darsees apprehend that the temple service might suffer by Sampathkumarabhatta being allowed to take his turn of Puja in the main temple and they therefore propose that in modification of the present arrangement to Sampathkumarabhatta be directed to continue to do duty in the minor Shrines the Puja of main temple being always attended to by the other archak Vasavabhatta. This proposal which is supported by the Deputy Commissioner is approved.

Sampathkumarabhatta should be warned that further indifference to duty on his part will entail his summary

dismissed from the temple Service

It may also be remarked that it should not be left to the archaks to appoint any substitutes they choose whenever they fall sick or take any long leave. The arrangements though temparary should be subject to the sanction of the Muzrai department

By order—

(S.D) Asreenivasacharlu

Muzraisecretary

"Copy"

(sd) K Sreenivas Rao

Head clerk

Muzraisecretary's office

Compd
9-4

Copy applied for
by Sampathkumarabhatta
on 5-9-1900

Ready 5-9-1900
delivered 5-9-1900
No of words 312
Copying fee 0-8-0
Comparing fee 0-1-4
0-9-4

"Copy"

(sd) Sampathkumarabhat

No E. no. 170

From

The Office of Sub-division Officer
Sakaleshpoor

To The applicant Sampathkumara Bhatta, Archak to
the Temple of Sree Channakesava Swamy in Jeppu
Belur, (Belur Hobli, Belur Taluq)

Indorse Order.

Your petition of 14-10-1900 to this Office has been assigned no 170 in the complaint Register. This together with the petition no 45 of 14-7-1900 bearing on the same subject has been despatched to the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan today for disposal.

(Sd) W. Wetherall
Sub-Division officer
Sakaleshpoor

Dated 18th Nov. 1900. "Copy"

19-11-1900

(Sd) Sampathkumarabhat.



Mysore Muzrai Department.

Copy
No 754 From

The Muzrai department,
of the Office of the Dewan of Mysore

To Sampat Kumara Bhatta
Archak to Ithama Kesava Swami
Belur.

Received your appln petition no 1079-767/713 of the 24th November to the effect that the turn of Pooja of the Archaks of Belur Ithamma Kesava Swami's temple should be set right

It has been reported to the Government by the deputy Commissioner that you who have been looking after the Pooja business in the minor shrines of the above-said temple, have been very indifferent in the discharge of your duties besides absenting yourself from work frequently without permission, that the Temple service might suffer and the people put to great inconvenience if you are allowed to take your turn of Pooja in the main temple and that therefore the matters will be set right if you continue to do duty in the minor shrines, and the pooja of the main temple be attended to by the other Archak Kesava bhatta. It has been therefore so ordered and consequently you are given to understand that there are no grounds to alter the this arrangement

By order,

(Sd.) S Aravamudha Rao
Deputy Secretary

"Copy"

(Sd) Sampat Kumara bhat.

Orders on the petition—

What is this about? Let me have a note. / Sudy P. N. K.

31-8-01

Transfer to Inuzgai Branch

Sudy V. K. J.

20/9/01

Seen

28.9.01

30/9/01 Place this with the Note already prepared

2/9/01

RECEIVED
Date 30-9-01
REG. No. 305

No. 989

Name of Petitioner Sampathkumara Bhatta Archak of the Channa Kesava Swamy

Village } Belur

Taluk }

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 27-7-01

Date of Receipt 20-9-01

te of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

This is an application for renew of the Inuzgai Service-darsp endorsement No. 754 dated 23-4-01.

The petitioner states that without perusing the previous records of this case, without any enquiry, and without calling for reports from the Amildar and the Menzgai Officer, the Dy: Comr made a lac

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,0 0.

cases. The Mentzhai
has concurred in the Dy. Comr's
opinion. His order is therefore
not in accordance with law.
By this order he has been
made to lose all his income.

He prays that after the
perusal of the entire records
connected with his case, he
may be confirmed in his lok.

Rao
26/9/01

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No 3059
MUTRAJ BRANCH

754
23-4-901

20-9-1991

19-12-97

27/7/1901

Murzai

No 1529
Murz 7 90.92

27. 3. 02

K No 1530
Murz 7 90.92

27. 3. 02.

Endorsement presented by Sampathkumara Bhattar Archak of the Sri Channa Kesavaswami Temple at Belur, appealing against the order of the late Murzai Superintendent, directing the petr., in the matter of the Archak's duties to be performed by turns in the temple, to continue to do duty in the minor shrines, as the petr was indifferent in the discharge of his duties besides absenting himself frequently from work, without permission

---

In reply to his petition

request, that he may
be allowed to take his turn
of Puja in the main Temple,
Sampathi Kumara Pillai
is informed, that the Govt.,
after a careful consideration
of the records, do not
see any reason to disturb
the orders already passed
in the petn's case by the late
Huzur Superintendent
with the Concurrence of the
Deputy Commissioner (Mr.
B.G. Krishnaiengar). The petn
is even now allowed the
permanent worship of the
minor temples and is also
given in alternate years
the perquisites of the
Rathotsavam &c.

In these circumstances

the Government decline to re open the case.

By order

Dewan's orders 26/3/42

Dy Secretary
Munsiri deptt

Copy is forwarded to the Dy Commr., Kassan District for info.

By order

Dy Secy
Munsiri deptt

Received by Registered Post
at Supreme Court
14/2/02



To

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Ide End. No. 1529
90-92
27.3.02

Most honored Sir

The humble petition of Sampathkumara Bhatta, ... Archaka of Sri Channakesava Swamy Temple, Belur Taluka Hassan District.

I beg to bring to your kind notice that the former Deputy Comr of the Hassan District, Mr B G Krishna Iyengar Summarily deprived me of my hereditary post of Archak without enquiring into the case and without obtaining the opinions of the Amildar and the District Muzrai officer.

I submitted in June last 2 or 3 appeal petitions to the Dewan & the Govt of Mysore for which I received no endorsements - and on July 04 I explained to your honor in person the details of my case and as per your honors order I produced all documents and statements connected with my case, and your honor was

was pleased to reply me after a couple of days that my petition was sent to the Diwan of Mysore - I stayed at Bangalore for 2 months and as I became unwell I had to go to Belur. As I am deprived of my post for the last 5 years I find it extremely difficult to get on with my livelihood.

I earnestly pray that my case may be enquired into at an early date and that orders may be passed for my early reinstatement into my post of Archaka

Dated Belur
9th February 1902

Archaka
Sri Channakesavaswamy
temple Belur

1657. I.
MYSORE SECRETARIAT



L. Anantha Swamy Rao Esqr B A.

Deputy secretary

Muzarai Department

Respected Sir,

I the undersigned, widow of the late B. Chelva Iyengar and Sampathiengar Hanik in the Sree Somya Keshava Swamy Temple at Belur most humbly beg to state as follows:-

My husband late Sampathiengar died issueless, of plague on the 22nd November 1903, leaving him surviving me his widow a minor aged 16 years in the protection of my father Narayana Iyengar of Eswaralli and my husband's only nearest cousin Mr B. Keshavachar of the Comptroller's office.

The said appointment being hereditary and being in the family from time immorial I humbly pray that the said appointment may be transferred to my name and that my father be allowed to perform the duties of the said office.

If your honor thinks that the rules do not permit the office being registered in the name of a woman, I pray that it may be entered in the name of my brother-in-law the said Mr B. Keshavachar my husband's nearest legal reversioner in whose protection at Bangalore I am now living and who arranged for the performance of my husband's obsequies

In either case my father may be allowed

to

to perform the duties connected with the office and receive the emoluments thereof as long as Srr. B. Keshavachar is unable to attend to those duties personally.

I have submitted an application on this subject to the amildar of Belur.

For this act of kindness to ~~the~~ ~~awaited~~ poor young and helpless widow, as only bound be ever grateful.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obediently
& Mark of Lakshamma
widow of B. Sampathkumar

Bangalore
4th Feb 1915.

B. Keshavachar
2nd Grade accts.
Comptr's Office
Bangalore.

Muz F. 90-92.

No. 2428 D 06-2-04 En/1
" 2429 Egi Government

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1657
dated 4th February 1904 received at the
Muz: ~~Secretariat~~ ~~Dewan's Office~~ from Lakshamma wife
of Sampathirajiah praying that the
Sthanik Brahmin ~~appointment~~ of her husband
(who died of plague) late in Thirthi Somya
Kesava Devaru Temple at Belur may be
transferred either to her name or to
the deceased's cousin B. Keshavachar's name
~~and~~ her father ~~may~~ be appointed to look after the duties
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~
~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District, for favor of
~~early~~ enquiry and report

By Order,

Dft 12/2/04

BANGALORE
2-2-1904

~~Muzrai~~ Secretary
Muzrai Dept

Encl- 1 petition

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1446
20/9/04

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS

COURT FEE
EIGHT ANNAS

MUZRAI

1904 —1905

FROM The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai dept.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur Dt.

Dated 20th November

Despatched October 1904.

Received

General No. 1795

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure one

Has the honor to forward herewith a petition Regd. No. 1446 dated 20th September 1904 from Kesava Bhatta, archak of the Sri Channakesava swamy temple at Belur.

2. The petitioner alleges that he has been deprived of the archakship in the above temple and ~~prays for~~ that his pay for one year is in arrear and prays for his restoration to the archakship

Subd

If the petitioner's statements be true, then the Deputy Commissioner referred to in the Inspector's letter No. 1552 dated 27.2.02.

Enclosure

of the arrears of pay due thereto.

3. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report upon the petitioner's allegations and to submit the records connected with his case at an early date.

By order

[illegible] 15/11/04

Secretary

No: 1796
12-11-04.

Encl: Copy

[illegible] 12/11/04



1904-05

From P.D.W. Trelleraall Esqr
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To L. Anantarami Rao Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Mucrai Depte
Bangalore

Dated 16th December '04

Despatched 19th

Received

General No 417

No. Secy

Enclosure No. V. Papers Returned

Submitting a report on the petition of Lakshamma, widow of Sampathiengar, praying that her husband's post viz, Stanik of Sri Soma Keshava temple at Belur be transferred to the name of her cousin Keshava-Cheer

---

MGCPB—50 forms—6-12-02

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Hassan District.
Dated 16th December '04.

From,

P. R. W. Wetherall Esq.
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To, L. Anantha sami Rao Esq Ba
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your official memo-randum No 24288 dated 15-2-'04 forwarding for report a petition from Lakshmamma, widow of Sampathiengar, late stanik of the Sri Somakeshava temple at Belur, praying that her husband's post may be transferred to her cousin Keshava Char's name and her father allowed to do duties on his behalf,

I have

have the honour to state that one Sampat-
-Iengar was the Stanik of the Sri Somakesha-
-va temple at Belur, and died of Plague in
Nov. '03, leaving the petitioner as the only
heir to his post in the temple. As a woman
Cannot perform the duties of a stanik in the
temple, the petitioner prays that her deceased
husband's cousin, O Keshava Char, may be
appointed to the po of stanik in succession
to her deceased husband, and that her
father may be permitted to do the duties
for Keshava Char. This arrangement, the
Amildar reports, is inexpedient in the interests
of the temple service, and that the petition
-er may, therefore, be directed to find a
Competent person to whom the post
may be given permanently, and who

will live at Belur and do the duties
of the post, which seems reasonable.

reasonable.

2. The petition and Vernacular papers ending with Belur Amildar's report No 12 D/ 19. 8. '04, are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,
sir,
Your most Obedient servant,

M H Wetherall

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commr
Hassan Dist -

Dated 27th January 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 2711
Muzrai F. No. 90-92

Enclosure No. 1726.

His letter no 417 dated 12th Dec 1904 reporting on the petn of Lakshmamma widow of Sampathiengar in the matter of the latter's post of Stanik in the Sri Channakesavaswamy temple at Belur being transferred to the name of the petr's cousin Kesavaiyar.

Draft referred to the advocate, for the Govt Pleader

~~The~~ the petr. Lakshmamma
prays that the appointment of
Stanik in the temple at Belur
held by her deceased husband
may be transferred to her
cousin Kesavathar, her father
being permitted to do the duties
for Kesavathar. This arrangement
is reported to be inexpedient, and that
may be given
~~some~~ person ~~else~~ to the petr.
to appoint a person permanently
who will reside at Belur &
do the duties of the ~~the~~ Stanik
2 With reference to the above —
the Dy Commr is requested to
report if it is not advisable —
to ~~appoint~~ register the post of the
Stanik in question in the name —
of ~~the~~ the petitioner Lakshmamma
herself, her father being, however —
permitted to do the duties in the
temple on her behalf. The
favour of an early report is requested.
3 The enclos. are ret'd herewith.

By order
27/1/05
Secy. to Govt.

by

Passed by
Sri Kasava Bhatta
Ex 2/2/05

Refund

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
29/5

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ರವರ ಕಛೇರಿ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯಿದೆ

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ನಿಯಮಗಳು

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನೋಂದಾಯಿಸಿದ

ಸಂಘದ ಹೆಸರು ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಅಂಚೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಸಾಲ ಸಂಘ ನಿ.

ನೋಂದಾಯಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ 2-2-1905

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳಿಗೆ

ಮಾಡಿದ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳು

ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮತ್ತು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತ ವಿವರಣೆ

೧೯೦೫ ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳ ೨ ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಕಾಯಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನೋಂದಾಯಿಸಿದ ಸಂಘದ ಹೆಸರು ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಅಂಚೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಸಾಲ ಸಂಘ ನಿ. ಎಂದು ಇದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಾಲ ಸಂಘ ನಿ. ಎಂದು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪-೬ ಮತ್ತು ೮-೯ ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳು ಸಂಘದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗೀಕೃತವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ರವರು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಈ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪-೬ ಮತ್ತು ೮-೯ ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಗಳಾಗಿವೆ.

ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೪ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಹೆಸರು ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಅಂಚೆ ಮತ್ತು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಸಾಲ ಸಂಘ ನಿ. ಎಂದು ಇದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಾಲ ಸಂಘ ನಿ. ಎಂದು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೬ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಷೇತ್ರವು ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ೪ ಮೈಲಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶವಾಗಿದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ೪ ಮೈಲಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹಾಗೂ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜನಗರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳು ಹೋಬಳಿಯ ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಎಂದು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೮ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಷೇರು ಬಂಡವಾಳವು ಒಂದು ಷೇರಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೧೦/- ರಂತೆ ೨೫೦ ಷೇರುಗಳಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೨೫೦೦/- ಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಷೇರಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೧೦/- ರಂತೆ ೫೦೦ ಷೇರುಗಳಿಗೆ ರೂ. ೫೦೦೦/- ಗಳಾಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೯ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಷೇರುದಾರರಾಗಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆಯು ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ೪ ಮೈಲಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಾಪ್ತ ವಯಸ್ಕರಾಗಿದ್ದುದನ್ನು ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ೪ ಮೈಲಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶ ಹಾಗೂ ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜನಗರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳು ಹೋಬಳಿಯ ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳು ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಾಪ್ತ ವಯಸ್ಕರಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲು ಅರ್ಹರಾಗಿರುವವರು ಎಂದು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ರವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಂಘದ ಉಪವಿಧಿಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ರವರ ಪರವಾಗಿ

ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ರವರ ಕಛೇರಿ

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಕಣ್ಣೀರು ಹಾಕಿದಳು. ಅವಳ ಕಣ್ಣೀರು ಕಂಡು ಅವಳ ಗಂಡ ಕರಗಿ ಹೋದ. ಅವಳನ್ನು ಎಬ್ಬಿಸಿ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ. ಅವಳು ಮಾಡಿದ ತಪ್ಪನ್ನು ಕ್ಷಮಿಸಿದ. ಅವಳು ಮಾಡಿದ ತಪ್ಪು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಅರಿವಾಗಿ ಪಶ್ಚಾತ್ತಾಪ ಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಳು

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ,

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಂ ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಬಿಸಿಯೂಟ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡುಗೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಅಡುಗೆ ಕೋಣೆಯ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಡುಗೆ ಕೋಣೆಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಮನವಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ೧೫೦ ಮಕ್ಕಳು ವ್ಯಾಸಂಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದ

2.

To

The Dy Commr
Hassan District

No 2895 Genl
Mun:FG0-92
D 10-2-05
&
2896 · D 10-2-05

In continuation
of this Office Docket-no
1795 dated 22nd June 04
forwarding for report
a petition from Kuppu-
-Bhatta, Archak of the
Sri Chamakeswaraswami
temple at Belur, has
the honour to forward
herewith another petn
no 2915 dated 2nd
instant from the same
individual complaining
that he has been kept-
out of employment for
the past 11 months without-

without any enquiry

whatever -

Reports must be a

detailed report on

the allegations contained

in the petition be submitted as already called for

without further delay,

~~with~~ together with the

records of enquiry

if any -

By

Dpl. 11/2/05

Secretary

Irrigation Dept

Ls
10/2/05

Executive Engineer

AM

3662

Refers
1/4/05

ఆ దేశాలు దరఖాస్తు కోరుతూ

లేఖ రాసాలన్న.

ఈ సంస్థకి భూమి ఇవ్వడంలో ఉన్న ప్రయోజనాన్ని

ఉదాహరణతో వివరిస్తూ ప్రభుత్వానికి లేఖ రాయండి

మీరు ఒక ప్రణాళికా బద్ధంగా ధీర్ఘకాలిక

ప్రణాళికలు వేసుకోవడం ఎంత ముఖ్యమో వివరిస్తూ

ఒక వ్యాసం రాయండి.

ఈ ప్రపంచంలో మనకు ఎన్నో సమస్యలున్నాయి అందులో

ముఖ్యమైన సమస్యలు ఏవో గుర్తించి వాటి పరిష్కారాలు

సూచిస్తూ ఒక వ్యాసం రాయండి.

ఈ సంస్థ ఇచ్చే ఉద్యోగం ఎందుకు ఎంతో ముఖ్యమో

వివర

Mys. No. 3774 Encl
3775-913-4-05-
Muz: F. 90-92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 3662

dated 1-6-05 received at the ~~Dewan's~~ Office from ~~[illegible] Keswa Bhatt~~ Archak of the Sri Chennakesava Swamy temple at Belur Complaining that he has been kept ~~[illegible]~~ out of employment for the last one year without any enquiry

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the D. C. Hassan for

* No: 1795-97-22-8-nov-04
& No: 2895-97-10-th-Feby 05.

in Continuation of the marginally noted communications, with a request that the reports already called for ~~[illegible]~~ be submitted ~~without fail~~ ~~delay~~ before the end of this month together with explanation for the delay.

By Order,

13/4/05

~~Deputy~~ Secretary

Muzrai De

BANGALORE,
190

11/4/05

Put up to the Secretary

MGCBP—500-19-6-02

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
355
22.7.05

M. R.

Refus
[illegible]
22/7/05

[illegible]

1905 [illegible] 22 [illegible]

[illegible]

1796 / 22-11-94 . 2896 / 16-2-95 . 3775 / 13-4-95 [illegible]

[illegible]

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ,

ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಪ್ರಜಾವಾಣಿ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕಳೆದ ಕೆಲವು ದಿನಗಳಿಂದ ಪ್ರಜಾವಾಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ಲೇಖನಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯಗಳು ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳ ಮತ್ತು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಸಮಾಧಾನವನ್ನುಂಟು ಮಾಡಿವೆ. ಈ ಲೇಖನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಂಘಟನೆಗಳ ಮತ್ತು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಸಂಬದ್ಧ ಮತ್ತು ಅಸತ್ಯ ಆರೋಪಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಶಾಂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಸಹನೆಯ ವಾತಾವರಣ ಸೃಷ್ಟಿಯಾಗುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಯಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಜಾವಾಣಿಯು ಇಂತಹ ಲೇಖನಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವ ಮುನ್ನ ಅವುಗಳ ಸತ್ಯಾಸತ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಇಂತಹ ಲೇಖನಗಳಿಂದ ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಶಾಂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಸಹನೆಯ ವಾತಾವರಣ ಸೃಷ್ಟಿಯಾಗುವ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆಯಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಜಾವಾಣಿಯು ಇಂತಹ ಲೇಖನಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವ ಮುನ್ನ ಅವುಗಳ ಸತ್ಯಾಸತ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM

To

D. O. to

Dated P. R. W. Wetherall

Despatched Dy Comr

Received Hussan DC

Gener.).

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure

Dear ~~Sir~~, Mr Wetherall,

I beg to invite

~~Kindly~~ attention to this Office ~~Order~~ orders

No. ~~3774~~ 1775 dated ~~13th April 1905~~ 22nd Nov 1904 a

as noted in the

The Subsequent Remainders requesting

early submission of ~~the~~ a report

together with an explanation for the

delay ~~in this~~ upto the ~~close~~ end of April last

regarding the Complaint ~~of one~~ petition of

Archak

Kesava Bhatta of the Sri Channakesava

Swami Temple at Belur, ~~being kept~~

alleging that he out of employment ~~and~~ without

has been kept enquiry ~~being made~~

no 2895 dated 10th July 1905

no 3774 of 13th April 1905

~~I very much regret to observe~~

that neither the report nor the explanation for the delay ~~has been no effort seems to have been made to submit the report in question.~~

I therefore request you to kindly see to the early submission of the same and oblige. An official reminder has again been sent today.

I request to find that no report has yet been received from you on the subject and that no statement has been supplied pertaining this office.

Hoping you are well,

I remain

Yours Sincerely.

2577/05

Secretary

Ls

23/7/05

P. R. W. Wetherall, Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Hazaribagh

3rd Reminder

MUZRAI

Urgent-

19 —19

FROM L. Anantasami Rao, Esq. B.A.

To Secretary, Muzrai Dept.

The Deputy Commissioner.

Hassan District

Dated

Despatched 27/4

Received July 1905.

General No. 578

Muzrai F. No. 90-92 X 579

Enclosure

No. ~~17459 22nd Nov: 1904~~

28959 6th Feb: 1905

3774 dt 13th April 1905-

This Office Docket- ~~D. No.~~ No. ~~3774~~ 1775

dated ~~13th April 1905~~ 22nd Novr 1904 and the subsequent marginally noted X Reminders requesting Submission of the report before the end of ~~last month~~ April 1905 together with an explanation for the delay regarding the Complaint of Krishna Bhatta Subba Bhatta of Kaiyara in the matter of the Shanmukha temple at Belur, alleging that he had been kept out of employment for the previous 11 months without any enquiry of the ~~Sri Channakeshavaswamy~~ Shanmukha temple Belur.

Has the honour to observe
that neither the report nor
the explanation therein called
for ~~for the delay~~ has been
submitted till now.

The Deputy Com^r is
~~requested~~ requested to
expedite the submission
the same. ~~without further delay~~

By Order,

23/7/05

Secretary to Govt,
Manipur Department.

23/7/05

or by letter

From

E.R.W.Dey
Deputy Com[illegible] over
Hassan District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq, B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the [illegible] araja of Mysore
Imy[illegible] partment
Bangalore

Dated 28

Despatched 7/8 July 1905

Received.

General No. 87
No. S

Enclosure No. V File, 2 pl[illegible]
Boxes

Submitting report on the petition of Kesavabhatta Archk of Sri Channa Kesavaswamy temple at Belur.

AV

Rupees

Rs

31/7/05

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated 28th July 1905

From

C. R. W. Wetherall Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Inam Department
Bangalore -

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No 1795 dated 22nd November 1904 forwarding for my post a petition from Kesavabhatta alleging that he has been deprived of the Archakship in the above temple I have the honour to state that the petitioner is one of the two Archaks of the Sri Channakesavaswamy temple at Belur who were performing the duties by yearly turns till the division

Kesavabhatta failed to present himself befor the Amildar even after repeated orders, for the purpose of inspection of the jewels kept in a box in the treasury under his (Kesavabhatta's) seal.

Slip D

3. On the 7th March 1904 the Offg Deputy Commissioner (Mr K. S. Dorasamiyer) passed an order directing Kesavabhatta to be relieved of his duties and appointing Sampathkumarbhatta in his stead. He directed that Kesavabhatta should be sent forthwith to Hassan to render his explanation upon the charges to see whether he should not be dismissed from service summarily.

4. The Treasury Assistant Commissioner (Mr B. Ramaswamy)

gainst him Successive Amildars
d the Revenue Sub-Division Officers
ve noticed his dirrliction of duty.
t last Mr C. NarasingaRao
ade a Cauarrse report No 48 dated
.9.1903 (an English translation
which is thereto attached). Details
the varions misdemeanours of
rchak Kesavabhatta are therein
numerated. As Revenue
b-Division Officer, Saklaspur,
brought the irregularities of this
rchak to notice in my Rgadi
399 dated 27.10.1903 addressed
the Deputy Commissioner. Kesava-
hatta was not discharging his
uties regularly and was
requently absenting himself
rom the Place on private affairs
sputing his brother-in-law to
erform his duties without
btaining the permission of even
e local authorities. Moreover

Kesavabhatta

Kesavabhatta failed to present himself before the Amildar even after repeated orders, for the purpose of inspection of the jewels kept in a box in the Treasury under his (Kesavabhatta's) Seal.

Slip D

3. On the 16th March 1904 the Offg Deputy Commissioner (Mr K. S. Doraswami Iyer) passed an order directing Kesavabhatta to be relieved of his duties and appointing Sampathkumarbhatta in his stead. He directed that Kesavabhatta should be sent forthwith to Hassan to render his explanation upon the charges to see whether he should not be dismissed from service summarily.

4. The Treasury Assistant Commissioner (Mr B. Ramaswamy)

as deputed to make a detailed
amination of the jewellery and
er property of the Sri Chamakesava
amy Temple with a view to find
t whether any were missing.
copy of Mr B. Ramaswamaiya's
ort was submitted to you with
y docket No 169 dated 10th September
4. That report gives a lucid account
the state of affairs connected
ith the Temple jewellery.

5. The petitioner Keravalkatta
leges that his pay for one year
in arrears. The amount he
ims is the sum of money which
Amildar has withheld
ayment for the period this
rchak absented himself from
uty without leave in each
nths. The amount due for the
riods he was on duty will be
isbursed to him when he applies
or it, as the Amildar reported
that

Separately
Sealed with a
Ser

that Kesavabhatta objected to the deductions made and hence the balance was not paid to him.

6. Kesavabhatta was heard in person by me at Belur on the 14th May 1905 in reply to the charges brought against him, and his explanation was then recorded on the many points mentioned in the above quoted report of the Amildar and in the previous and subsequent Correspondence on the Subject. His explanation is far from Satisfactory and it is obvious that Kesavabhatta was inattentive to his duties and disregarded the orders of the local Mugrai officers and frequently absented himself from duty without permission. His youthful proclivities

mbined with the engrossing work
his Coffee estates rendered him
nable to attend regularly to
e worship of the important
mple of Belur where devotees
d others come daily to make
fferings and prayers and to see
e architectural beauty of
e temple.

7. As two Archaks are
ndoubtedly necessary for
asons mentioned in the
Wilder's report and as the
requisites of the major Shrines
re greater than those of the
inor Shrines, I propose that
e original practice obtaining
efore 1898 be restored so that both
Rampathkumarbhatta (who has
en discharging his duties
Satisfactorily

satisfactorily. besides being a more elderly man of learning and well versed in the Agamas) and Kesavabhatta may worship in the major shrine and in the minor shrines by annual turns. This arrangement will stimulate healthy rivalry in the proper discharge of the duties by both the Archaks and will also distribute the perquisites equally between them.

8. Moreover, it will be observed from the records that Sampathkumarbhatta was in 1898 accused of no greater offence than has been brought home to Kesavabhatta now, so that both the Archaks have suffered for their inattention and disobedience of orders. It is therefor desirable to give

em opportunities of retrieving
er conduct; at the same time
rating healthy rivalry between
em. The work of Sampathkumar-
atta since his restoration to
e worship of the main shrine
as been satisfactory and as
on visited Esklur temple on
our last tour through this
istrict you are in a position
judge of his fitness and
bsequent reported behaviour.

9. The delay in the submission
this report is due to the absence
the Archak Narayanabhatta from
klur when I had been there
meet the First Councillor in
anuary last on which occasion
sent for him with a view to record
is explanation. Subsequently I
sued a written notice to be

served

Served on him by the Amildar for his appearance befor me. The Amildar reported that the notice was served on Kesavabhatta's brother who stated that Kesava bhatta was absent in Shimoga on account of a case pending befor the Deputy Commissioner there. He appeared befor me only on the 12th May last at Hassan and as I had made an appointment to meet Mr N. Venkata Rao, Offg. Deputy Commissioner of Kadur District, at Belur in connection with Agricultural Bank matters I directed Kesavabhatta to appear befor me at Belur camp on the next day and obtained his signature to a notice to that effect. Accordingly I had his explanation recorded befor me at Belur on the 14th idem. During the progress of work in connection with the pending

to of the Saklaspur Agricultural
ank and other urgent work
hich had to be attended to before
close of the past official year
04-05 and owing to my recent
r in Chamarajapatna Taluk
connection with difficulty
pasture for cattle in that
luk this report was not earlier
bmitted.

10. The entire vernacular record
nnected with the subject and
e explanation of Kesavabhatta
corded before me at Arkur
gether with his three petitions
re herewith submitted for
former and kind return.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

M. Wellerall

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

MYSORE GOVER...
1034
31.8.05

Refers
31/8/05

4/9/05

Muzrai.

3/17/05

Hassan
29th July 1905

Dear Mr Ananthadamy Rao

In reply
D.O. letter of the
6th instant I write
you that the
concerning
Bhatt Archak
of Belur Temple was
replied yesterday -
I again bring to
your notice the difficulty
of

of getting Murrai work
done in my office
without a single hand
of the Murrai Dept to
attend to it regularly
& exclusively The
Official now attending
to it has to get through
the work in addition
to his own heavy
duties of other Depts
Considering that the
Murrai work of this
District is second only
& that of Mysore District
it

it is indeed hard
expect the work
to be done by an
of another
- in addition
own duties,
now that
has increased
owing to the
prescribed
accounts to be kept.
I made an official
to you on the subject
time back, and
am referred to it in
a subsequent reference
but

but I have yet
no reply on the
matter yet.

Kindly move the
Government to sanction
some Mugrai Establish-
ment for this District
Office.

Trusting that you
are enjoying good
health. Yours Sincerely

M.D. Gilbert

M a d r a s.

Read:-

Petition Regd. No. 1-1 , dtd. 20th September 1904, and [illegible] petitions from Kesava Pillai, Archaka of the Sri Chennakesavaswami Temple at Belur, complaining against the order of Mr. V.S.Doraiswami Iyer, Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District, relieving him from the duties of his office.

Letter No. 1, dated 28th July 1904, from Mr. R.A.W. [illegible], Personal Assistant, Madras Police, [illegible].

Order proposed:- 3036 of 18.10.04.

Issue

Approved by the Dewan on the Office Note
Sdn. 14/11/04

Enc. 1 one & [illegible] filed.

[illegible]
letter to [illegible]
that [illegible]
Bhattar [illegible]
[illegible]
Deputy [illegible]

:d an Order on the 10th March 1904

the petitioner should be relieved

is duties as Archak of the temple.

s at the same time observed from

previous correspondence that the

- Archak Sampathkumara Bhatta was

found inatte tive to his duties in

year 1898. As both the Archaks were

d fault with and were punished, at

orent times, Govt are now pleased

irect that both of them may be

itted to work by turns, and that,

ecommended by the present Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Wetherall)

of the Archaks should do puja in

major shrine and the other in the

n shrines in one year, and vice versa

he next year, and so on. Both the

naks should be warned that they

uld not absent themselves without

previous permission of the Amildar,

that

if they do not perform their duties

satisfactorily, they will be liable

be removed from their appointments.

2. Considering that petitioner

Kesava Bhatta has been out of employ for 18 months past, the Govt are pleased to direct that he should be given the puja turn in the major shrine immediately. The arrears of pay claimed by him cannot be allowed except for the periods during which he was actually on duty.

Secretary, Muz: Deptt.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District, retg.

enclosures.

Mu. 21]

ನಂ. 3846/13. 3. 08.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

[illegible]

1904 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ಮೊಹೆ ೨೦ ನೇ

ಮರ್ಕುಂ 1416 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ~~ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.~~ [illegible]

[illegible]

By order,

[illegible] 5/10/06

Secretary.

2/3/06

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 5073. Exec 1.

The accompanying Petition No. 4253

dated 2-4-06 received at this
Office from Archak Kesava
Bhatta of Belur, praying
for ~~the~~ re-consideration
of the orders passed by
Govt re Archakship of the
Cheunakesavaswamy temple
at Belur

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ of the
~~enclosures~~, to the D.C. Hassan for
in Continuation of
Govt Pro: No 3036 dy the
18th ult 06 January 1906

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
28 4 1906
2.5.06

No 5074 Exec to Peto Rs
27/4/06